

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/723,339 | 11/26/2003 | Jaques A. Manukyan | 335590-3 | 8599 |
| 7590 07/06/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| G. Glennon Troublefield | | | ENG, DAVID Y | |
| Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan, Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 5 Becker Farm Road Roseland, NJ 07068 | | | 2155 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/723,339 | MANUKYAN, JAQUES A. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DAVID Y. ENG | 2155 ; | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | opears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a release in the second of the | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE. | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| · | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 May 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a by the Examination is objected to | a) accepted or b) object e drawing(s) be held in abeyar action is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of | nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/723,339

Art Unit: 2155

Applicants are requested to update the status of related application on page 1 of the specification.

This application is a CIP of parent serial number 09/872,876 (now USP 6,687,733). Applicants are requested to cross reference to the parent on page 1 of the specification.

Claims 1-41 of patent number 6,687,733 contain every element of claims 1-27 of the instant application and as such anticipate claims 1-27 of the instant application.

"A later patent claim is not patentable distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). "ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appelas for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Claims 7 and 8 are identical. Applicants are requested to cancel one of them.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Larkins (6,295,291).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,339

Art Unit: 2155

See at least the abstract, Figures 1-6 and the description therof in Larkins.

Larkins teaches:

a system (Figure 1 and the abstract) for automatically configuring a server daemon (server 105) to provide a service (see "services and features" in line 4 of the abstract) to a client (subscriber), the system comprising:

at least one interactive server (server 105), the at least one interactive server having a predetermined system configuration file (radiotelephone service profile, line 8 abstract and Figure 3-6) and a server daemon, the predetermined system configuration file being used to make a service available (activation function, line 12 abstract and 135 Figure 2) to the client (subscriber) through the server daemon,

a database server (140, 110, 130, 125 and 135) having a program to generate executable and transferable tasks that are used to configure the predetermined system configuration file of the at least one interactive server to make the service available to the client as desired,

a relay server to enable the at least one interactive server to selectably communicate with the database server (subscriber log on to the WWW server and provides service profile and billing information to the billing system through the server, abstract),

an external communications link (135, 115, 120) to enable the client to access the service available from the at least one interactive server, and whereby the at least one interactive server contacts the database server to

Application/Control Number: 10/723,339

Art Unit: 2155

obtain the tasks so that the predetermined configuration system file can be configured to make the service available to the client as desired.

As to claim 2, see lines 58-60 of column 2 in Larkins.

As to claims 3-5, all the components shown in Figure 1 are able to communicate with each other.

As to claim 6, see protocol in line 2 of column 5.

As to claim 7-8, see routing in line 54 of column 3.

As to claim 9, see encryption in line 37 of column 3.

As to claims 10-27, they do not define above the invention claimed in claim 1-9 and therefore are rejected for the same reasons.

DAVID Y. ENG
PRIMARY EXAMINER